



US009140528B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Thomas et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,140,528 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Sep. 22, 2015**

(54) **COVERT TAGGANT DISPERSING GRENADE**

(75) Inventors: **Toby D. Thomas**, Southlake, TX (US);
Richard L. Green, Fort Worth, TX
(US); **Jonathan H. Record**, Grand
Prairie, TX (US); **David L. Hunn**,
Kennedale, TX (US)

(73) Assignee: **Lockheed Martin Corporation**, Grand
Prairie, TX (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

3,940,605 A	2/1976	Gerber
3,954,060 A	5/1976	Haag et al.
4,326,463 A	4/1982	Burke et al.
4,455,943 A	6/1984	Pinson
4,594,944 A	6/1986	Rongus
4,619,202 A	10/1986	Romer et al.
4,638,736 A	1/1987	Farmer
4,638,737 A	1/1987	McIngvale
4,706,568 A *	11/1987	Lundwall et al. 102/513
4,777,882 A	10/1988	Dieval
4,922,826 A	5/1990	Busch et al.
4,957,046 A	9/1990	Puttock
5,082,203 A	1/1992	Baubry
5,119,715 A	6/1992	Porter, Jr. et al.
5,221,809 A	6/1993	Cuadros
5,635,667 A	6/1997	Boyer et al.

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **13/296,408**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 15, 2011**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 61/414,311, filed on Nov.
16, 2010.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
F42B 12/36 (2006.01)
F42B 12/50 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **F42B 12/36** (2013.01); **F42B 12/50**
(2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC 102/367–370, 502, 513, 334
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,821,924 A	2/1958	Hansen et al.
3,283,719 A	11/1966	Grandy
3,650,213 A	3/1972	Abbott et al.
3,701,533 A	10/1972	Palmer
3,802,345 A	4/1974	La Costa
3,865,038 A	2/1975	Barr

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FR	2792399 A1	10/2000
WO	2013053016 A1	4/2013

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Army Ammunition, 6-11 through 6-13.*

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Troy Chambers


Assistant Examiner — Benjamin Gomberg

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Withrow & Terranova,
PLLC

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A covert taggant dispersing grenade includes: a shell, the covert taggant disposed in the shell; a dispersal apparatus operably associated with the covert taggant to disperse the covert taggant; and a propulsion section operably associated with the shell for propelling the shell through an atmosphere. A method for dispersing a taggant includes: launching a grenade containing a taggant over a target, the taggant being invisible in the spectrum of the human eye; and covertly dispensing the taggant over the target.

12 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

- 5,654,524 A * 8/1997 Saxby 102/513
 5,661,257 A 8/1997 Nielson et al.
 5,796,031 A 8/1998 Sigler
 5,817,969 A 10/1998 Ettmuller
 5,880,397 A 3/1999 Crilly
 6,142,056 A 11/2000 Taleyarkhan
 6,223,658 B1 * 5/2001 Rosa et al. 102/501
 6,269,747 B1 8/2001 Strandli et al.
 6,393,992 B1 * 5/2002 Vasel et al. 102/502
 6,432,715 B1 8/2002 Nelson et al.
 6,523,478 B1 2/2003 Gonzalez et al.
 6,598,534 B2 7/2003 Lloyd et al.
 6,688,032 B1 2/2004 Gonzalez et al.
 6,843,179 B2 1/2005 Hunn et al.
 6,871,594 B1 * 3/2005 Estrella 102/498
 6,957,609 B2 10/2005 Ronn et al.
 6,989,525 B2 1/2006 Howard
 7,032,521 B2 4/2006 Ronn et al.
 7,060,992 B1 6/2006 Barney
 7,107,910 B2 9/2006 Hunn et al.
 7,143,698 B2 12/2006 Lloyd
 7,261,040 B2 8/2007 Hunn et al.
 7,278,358 B2 10/2007 Huffman
 7,380,503 B2 * 6/2008 Williams et al. 102/518
 7,490,551 B2 2/2009 Golay et al.
 7,530,315 B2 5/2009 Tepera et al.
 7,600,475 B1 10/2009 Friedberg
 7,743,709 B2 6/2010 Kolnik et al.
 7,752,976 B2 7/2010 Banks
 7,819,065 B2 10/2010 Haeselich
 7,905,178 B2 3/2011 Dryer et al.
 7,930,977 B2 4/2011 Klein
 8,020,492 B1 9/2011 Kapeles
 8,061,274 B1 11/2011 Hayes et al.
 8,115,149 B1 2/2012 Manole et al.
 8,196,514 B2 6/2012 Gustafsson et al.
 8,240,252 B2 8/2012 Maljkovic et al.
 8,281,720 B2 10/2012 Dindl et al.
 8,286,557 B2 10/2012 Endicott et al.
 8,387,538 B2 3/2013 Elder
 8,415,598 B1 4/2013 Terhune et al.
 8,464,639 B2 6/2013 Thomas et al.
 8,511,231 B2 8/2013 Hayes et al.
 8,616,127 B2 12/2013 Aliaghai et al.
 8,661,981 B2 3/2014 Tepera et al.
 8,708,285 B1 4/2014 Carreiro
 2002/0174794 A1 * 11/2002 Lowden et al. 102/517
 2005/0016412 A1 * 1/2005 Vasel et al. 102/502

- 2005/0188886 A1 * 9/2005 Vasel et al. 102/502
 2007/0068414 A1 3/2007 O'Dwyer et al.
 2009/0013893 A1 1/2009 Vasel et al.
 2010/0000438 A1 1/2010 Dryer et al.
 2010/0275807 A1 * 11/2010 Landry et al. 102/513
 2011/0056402 A1 3/2011 Gustavsson et al.
 2011/0067593 A1 3/2011 Klein
 2011/0203475 A1 8/2011 Thuman
 2011/0233426 A1 9/2011 Carroll et al.
 2012/0006218 A1 1/2012 Dietrich
 2012/0006219 A1 1/2012 Dietrich
 2012/0180686 A1 7/2012 Jones et al.
 2012/0227614 A1 9/2012 Sullivan
 2013/0087063 A1 4/2013 Halter
 2014/0025328 A1 1/2014 Burns et al.
 2014/0041545 A1 2/2014 Aw et al.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Dr Anant Singh, TIAXX, LLC, Degradable Taggants & Automated Multi-platform Sensor for Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance.

Author Unknown, "Flight controlled Mortar (FCMortar)," Request for Information, Solicitation No. N0017813Q1010, Posted Mar. 27, 2013, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren, Virginia, 7 pages.

Henderson, Milton E., Jr., "Fuze Efforts," AMRDEC, Weapons Development and Integration Directorate, Systems & Warheads Function, (RDMR-WDP-S), May 7, 2012, Control # FN5850, 15 pages.

Sandia National Laboratories et al., "Variable Range Less-Than-Lethal Ballistics," Final Report to the National Institute of Justice on Grant No. 2000-LT-BX-K004, Document No. 199046, U.S. Department of Justice, Jan. 2003, 66 pages.

Non-Final Office Action for U.S. Appl. No. 12/914,803, mailed Aug. 29, 2014, 11 pages.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 12/914,803, mailed Jan. 6, 2015, 7 pages.

Non-Final Office Action for U.S. Appl. No. 14/198,721, mailed Feb. 26, 2015, 8 pages.

Singh, Anant, "Degradable Taggants & Automated Multi-platform Sensor for Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance," Narrative Briefing, Command: ONR—SBIR, Topic: N07-072, TIAX LLC, Jun. 2010, Lexington, Massachusetts, 6 pages.

Non-Final Office Action for U.S. Appl. No. 14/198,732, mailed May 6, 2015, 14 pages.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 14/198,721, mailed Jul. 31, 2015, 9 pages.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 4




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B




FIG. 2C




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 3C

1

COVERT TAGGANT DISPERSING GRENADE**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

The priority of U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 61/414,311, entitled "Covert Taggant Dispersing Grenade", filed Nov. 16, 2010, in the name of the inventors Toby D. Thomas et al. is hereby claimed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §119 (e). This application is also hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes as if set forth herein verbatim.

The following U.S. patents and patent applications are also hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes as if set forth verbatim herein:

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/914,803; entitled "Rocket-Propelled Grenade", filed Oct. 28, 2010, in the name of the inventors Toby D. Thomas et al. and commonly assigned herewith;

U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 61/256,258, entitled "Grenade", filed Oct. 29, 2009, and commonly assigned herewith.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

Not applicable.

BACKGROUND

This section of this document introduces various concepts of the art that may provide context or be related to various aspects of the present invention described and/or claimed below. It provides background information to facilitate a better understanding of the various aspects of the present invention. As the section's title implies, this is a background discussion of "related" art. That such art is related in no way implies that it is also "prior" art. The related art may or may not be prior art. The discussion in this section of this document is to be read in this light, and not as admissions of prior art.

In military or police crowd control situations, particularly in riot or violent confrontations with large numbers of people it is often desirable but not practical to identify all participants. The mob members will disperse unless physically restrained and it is not possible to easily identify a person at a later time as having been involved in the act. In some situations it is desirable to covertly mark subjects with a material which will allow them to be later identified.

The present invention is directed to resolving, or at least reducing, one or all of the problems mentioned above.

SUMMARY

The present invention includes among its many aspects a covert taggant dispersing grenade and a method for dispersing a taggant. The grenade comprises: a shell, the covert taggant disposed in the shell; a dispersal apparatus operably associated with the covert taggant to disperse the covert taggant; and a propulsion section operably associated with the shell for propelling the shell through an atmosphere. The method includes: launching a grenade containing a taggant over a target, the taggant being invisible in the spectrum of the human eye; and covertly dispensing the taggant over the target.

The above presents a simplified summary of the invention as claimed below in order to provide a basic understanding of some aspects thereof. This summary is not an exhaustive

2

overview. It is not intended to identify key or critical elements or to delineate the scope of the invention. Its sole purpose is to present some concepts in a simplified form as a prelude to the more detailed description set forth below.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention may be understood by reference to the following description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which like reference numerals identify like elements, and in which:

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of one particular embodiment of a grenade;

FIG. 2A-2C and FIG. 3A-3C illustrate two particular, alternative variants of the grenade shown in FIG. 1; and

FIG. 4 illustrates the deployment of a grenade to target to covertly tag members of a group of people in accordance with one aspect of the presently disclosed technique.

While the invention is susceptible to various modifications and alternative forms, the drawings illustrate specific embodiments herein described in detail by way of example. It should be understood, however, that the description herein of specific embodiments is not intended to limit the invention to the particular forms disclosed, but on the contrary, the intention is to cover all modifications, equivalents, and alternatives falling within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Illustrative embodiments of the invention are described below. In the interest of clarity, not all features of an actual implementation are described in this specification. It will of course be appreciated that in the development of any such actual embodiment, numerous implementation-specific decisions must be made to achieve the developers' specific goals, such as compliance with system-related and business-related constraints, which will vary from one implementation to another. Moreover, it will be appreciated that such a development effort, even if complex and time-consuming, would be a routine undertaking for those of ordinary skill in the art having the benefit of this disclosure.

The present invention provides a technique by which people, vehicles and other objects on the ground may be tagged by an airborne taggant dispersal grenade. This includes a dispersal system housed in a grenade which can be fired over, for example, a group of individuals. This particular solution does not use a grenade which explodes with a loud report and flash, but uses a device which will disperse the taggant with little or no audible noise or visible flash. This will reduce the probability that the individuals being marked will be aware of being marked. It also employs a taggant not visible to the human eye but that fluoresces when exposed to radiation of a predetermined wavelength.

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of one particular embodiment of a grenade 100. The grenade 100 comprises a shell 105 in which a taggant (not shown) is stored. As will be discussed further below, the technique admits wide latitude in the design and implementation of the shell 105 and the taggant. It also includes a dispersal apparatus 110 and a propulsion section 115. The dispersal apparatus 110 is operably associated with the taggant to disperse it in a manner discussed more fully below. The propulsion section 115 is operably associated with the shell 105 for propelling the shell 105 through an atmosphere.

The grenade 100 may come in any suitable caliber known to the art. For example, the United States military uses 40 mm

grenades and United States law enforcement agencies typically use 37 mm grenades. The grenade **100** is designed for deployment using existing grenade launchers. The United States military uses, for example, M203, M320, and Mk 19 grenade launchers. The M203 and M320 are rail mounted to rifles while the Mk 19 is a belt-fed automatic grenade launcher. However, it is not material to the practice of the technique that the caliber be one currently in use or that it be compatible with existing launchers.

As those in the art will appreciate, the ammunition for the M203 and M320 is not interchangeable with that of the Mk 19. Thus, the launcher with which the grenade **100** is to be used will influence the design and implementation of the grenade **100**. However, these implementation specific details will be readily recognized by those in the art having the benefit of this disclosure and are not material to the practice of the technique.

Suitable taggants are already known to the art and many are commercially available. These include, for example, radio frequency ("RF") detectable particles, radioactive emission detectable particles, and visual wavelength detectable particles/dyes, and clear UV fluorescent dyes. The selection of the taggant in any given embodiment will be driven by implementation specific considerations.

In one embodiment, taggant is a generally transparent, permanent dye that fluoresces when exposed to ultraviolet light. The taggant may comprise, for example, triazinyl stilbene-based invisible ink, such as triazinyl stilbene-based blue invisible ink. Commercially available dyes suitable for this purpose include a type DFSB-C7 clear red fluorescent solvent-based dye, type DFWBOK412-50 clear blue fluorescent dye, type IF2-C2 clear yellow fluorescent ink, or IF2C6 clear green fluorescent ink, each provided by Risk Reactor of Dallas, Oreg., US. Furthermore, taggant may include Tracerline clear blue fluorescent dye, such as type TP-3920 fluorescent dye, provided by Tracer Products of Westbury, N.Y., US. In other embodiments, taggant may include series T-800 or T-900 water-based tracer, provided by Black Light World of Cub Run, Ky., U.S.

However, the taggant is not limited to dyes. In some embodiments, the taggant may be what are known in the art as "quantum dots" or "nano-crystals". Quantum dots are very small semiconductor devices—sufficiently small that they are frequently classed as a nano-technology. These are readily commercially available because of their increasing use in medical applications. Exemplary quantum dot providers include QD Vision, Inc., of 29 Hartwell Ave., Lexington, Mass. 02421, ph: (781) 652-7400, and Voxel, Inc. of 15985 NW Schendel Ave., #200, Beaverton, Oreg. 97006, ph: 971-223-5646, fax: 503-296-2862. Many other quantum dot providers offer products suitable for use as described herein.

In some embodiments, it is desirable that the taggant's signature degrade or decay over time so as not to leave a permanent signature. This is one advantage of the quantum dots, the lifetime of whose signatures can be engineered. The lifetime of their fluorescence is a function of well known factors such as their size. Quantum dot providers can also provide this type of engineering as one of their services.

It is also desirable in some embodiments that the taggant be applied covertly. One aspect of this concern is that the taggant should not be readily visible under ambient conditions. This is a common feature of many taggants, which frequently are invisible under ambient conditions but fluorescence in the presence of incident energy of a certain frequency. Suitable taggants for this purpose include, for example, both dyes and quantum dots. However, the signature decay that quantum dots possess furthers the covert nature of many embodiments

by reducing the risk of inadvertent detection by those that have been tagged or their compatriots.

As is apparent from the discussion above, the taggant may be either a liquid or a powder. This distinction influences to some degree the implementation of other aspects of the grenade. To illustrate how this might be the case, FIG. 2A-FIG. 2C depict an embodiment in which the taggant is a liquid while FIG. 3A-FIG. 3C depict a powdered taggant embodiment.

Turning now to FIG. 2A-FIG. 2C, these drawings depict one particular embodiment of a grenade **200**. FIG. 2A is a perspective, elevational view of the grenade **200**, FIG. 2B is a sectioned view along line 2B-2B in FIG. 2A, and FIG. 2C is a plan, side view of the grenade **200** from the same direction as the sectioned view of FIG. 2B. The grenade **200** comprises a shell **203** in which the taggant **206** is disposed, a dispersal apparatus **209**, and a propulsion section **212**. The dispersal apparatus **209** is operably associated with the taggant **206** to disperse it. The propulsion section **212** is operably associated with the shell **203** for propelling the shell **203** through an atmosphere.

More particularly, the taggant **206** is a liquid disposed within a bladder **215** fabricated from an elastomeric material. The taggant **206** is, in this particular embodiment, a "covert" taggant that degrades over time such as is described above. The taggant **206** is dispersed when the dispersal apparatus **209** actuates and overpressures the taggant **206** to the point where the bladder **215** bursts. Once the bladder **215** bursts, the taggant **206** is forced through the apertures **218** (only one indicated) in the forward end **221** of the nose cone **224**.

The dispersal apparatus **209** principally comprises a dome-shaped piston **227**, a pyrotechnic charge **230**, and a fusing mechanism **232**. When assembled, the piston **227** seats on a shoulder **233** defined by a cup **236** formed in the tail end **239** of the nose cone **224**. The pyrotechnic charge **230** is seated on an opening **242** in the floor of the cup **236** into which a stem **245** extending from the skirt **248** thereof fits. The pyrotechnic charge **230** may be implemented using any suitable firing charge known to the art. Exemplary pyrotechnic charges **230** include, but are not limited to, a Federal **215** percussion primer and an M2 firing charge, such as used in the U.S. M430A1 40 mm grenade, or the like. However, other firing charges are known to the art and may be used.

The dome-shaped piston **227** and the cup **236** define a pressure chamber **251**. The pressure chamber **251** are sealed by a friction fit between piston **227** and the interior surface **254** of the nose cone **224**. The skirt **248** of the pyrotechnic charge **230** seals the opening **242** when the pyrotechnic charge **230** is ignited. When the pyrotechnic charge **230** ignites, it fills the pressure chamber **251** with rapidly expanding gasses that exert a pressure against the interior face **257** of the piston **227** and the skirt **248** of the pyrotechnic charge **230**. The pressure against the interior face **257** of the piston **227** builds to overcome the previously mentioned friction fit and urges the piston **227** forward. As it moves forward, the piston **227** then overpressures the taggant **206** to burst the bladder **215** and disburse the taggant **206**.

The pyrotechnic charge **230** is ignited by the fusing mechanism **232**. The structure and operation of the fusing mechanism **230** is more thoroughly illustrated and explained in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/914,803. This application is incorporated by reference above. For present purposes, the fusing mechanism **232** can be manually rotated by a grenadier to select one of a plurality of fuses **260** (only one indicated), each having a different burn time. The fusing mechanism therefore provides a mechanical timing mechanism for the grenade **200**. The selected fuse **260** is exposed to the pyro-

technic charge 230 through the opening 242. The fusing mechanism 230 is otherwise isolated from the tail end 239 of the nose cone 224 forward by a cover 263. The selected fuse 260 is exposed to a launch pressure chamber 266 in the propulsion section 212 through a passageway 269 through the end wall 272 of a housing 275 of the fusing mechanism 232.

The fuses 260 are, in the illustrated embodiments, what are known as "pyrotechnic fuses". Pyrotechnic fuses are commonly made of compounds of sulfur, silicon, tungsten, and boron. Pyrotechnic delays are used to control the time of events from the initiation of an initial impulse to the initiation of a secondary impulse, or output. Typically the delay is initiated by a thermal energy input. Timing is achieved by the linear reaction rate of a column of the pyrotechnic.

Micron or Nano-sized aluminum and/or boron particles can be utilized to control the burning rate and impetus of the functionally graded propellants ("FGPs"). Due to the formulation variation in specific directions, the combustion/mechanical behavior of a given FGP is also a function of the distance perpendicular to the burning surface. Desired burn rate control can be achieved by variations in propellant composition and particle size distribution. For example, by introducing different amounts and shapes of aluminum particles (e.g. micron aluminum flake vs. nano-sized aluminum rods vs. nano-sized spherical aluminum particles), the burning rate of the propellant could vary by several hundred percent.

The propulsion section 212 comprises a casing 278 affixed to the housing 275 and a primer 281 centered in the tail end of the casing 278. The casing 278 defines one or more ports 284 (only one indicated) extending from the positioned primer 281. The ports 281 direct rapidly expanding gasses from the ignited firing charge 201 into the pressure chamber 266. The primer 281 may be implemented using any suitable primer known to the art. Exemplary primers 281 include, but are not limited to, a FED215 percussion primer, or a 38 Smith & Wesson blank cartridge. However, other primers are known to the art and may be used.

When the primer 281 is initiated, these rapidly expanding gases separate the casing 278 from the housing 275, whereupon the dispersal apparatus 209 and the shell 203 are propelled from the launcher and through the air. The heat generated by the initiated primer 281 propagates through passageway 269 in the housing 275 to initiate the selected fuse 260. The selected fuse 260 is consumed over a period of time and, when fully consumed or about fully consumed, heat is propagated from the fuse 260 through passageway 242 through the cover 263 and the cup 239 to activate the pyrotechnic charge 230. The pyrotechnic charge 230 that acts as described above to effect the dispersal of the taggant 206.

Turning now to FIG. 3A-FIG. 3C, these drawings depict one particular embodiment of a grenade 300. FIG. 3A is a perspective, elevational view of the grenade 300, FIG. 3B is a sectioned view along line 3B-3B in FIG. 3A, and FIG. 3C is a plan, side view of the grenade 300 from the same direction as the sectioned view of FIG. 3B. The embodiments of FIG. 2A-FIG. 2C and FIG. 3A-3C share common pyrotechnic charges 230, fusing mechanisms 232 and propulsion sections 212. To avoid repetition and so as not to obscure the present invention, these common elements will not be described again, it being understood that the discussion above relative to the embodiment of FIG. 2A-FIG. 2C pertains equally here. Like numbers are used to designate like parts in FIG. 2A-FIG. 2C and FIG. 3A-FIG. 3C to facilitate this understanding.

The shell 303 of the grenade 300 differs from its counterpart in the previous embodiment in two respects. First, the taggant 306 is a powder rather than a liquid. This means the bladder 215 containing the liquid taggant 206, shown in FIG.

2B, can be omitted if desired. This is the case in the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 3A-FIG. 3C. Second, the apertures 218 have been replaced by scores 318 (only one indicated) shown best in FIG. 3C. The scores 318 intentionally compromise the structural integrity of the nose cone 324 in a predetermined manner by virtue of their placement, depth, and extent.

The dispersal apparatus 309 also differs from its counterpart in the previous embodiment in two respects. The first difference is in the design of the piston 327. Rather than being hemi-spherical, the forward face 329 of the piston 327 is merely arced. The second way it differs is in its operation. When the pyrotechnic charge 245 ignites to urge the piston 327 forward, it still overpressures the taggant 306. However, the overpressure has a different effect. The overpressure builds until the structural integrity of the nose cone 325 fails along the scores 318. Note that this failure in structural integrity is both desired and intentional. The powdered taggant 306 then spills from the nose cone 325 as it is pushed out by the piston 327.

The apertures 218 in the grenade 200 of FIG. 2A-FIG. 2C and the scores 318 in the grenade 300 of FIG. 3A-3C determine the dispersal pattern of the taggants 206, 306, respectively. They are, by way of example and illustration, but two different means for determining the dispersal pattern for the taggants 206, 306. Alternative embodiments may employ other mechanisms of equivalent structure that perform that function. For example, the apertures 218 might not be circular or elliptical in their geometry, but rather square or rectangular. The scores 318 are shown on the exterior surface 353 of the nose cone 325 but can be formed on the interior surface 354 instead. Still other approaches might be used in other alternative embodiments. The grenade 100 in FIG. 1 contains no such means, and so its dispersal pattern will be unpredictable.

The embodiments illustrated in FIG. 2A-FIG. 2C and in FIG. 3A-3C use the same selectable, variable fusing mechanism. However, the technique admits variation in the fusing and so this is not required for the practice of the technique. The variable fusing mechanism disclosed above is, by way of example and illustration, but one means for actuating the dispersal mechanism 110. Alternative embodiments may employ other mechanisms of equivalent structure that perform that function. Some alternative embodiments may even employ conventional fuses having a single burn rate.

The technique also admits variation in the design and implementation of the propulsion section 115. While both of the embodiments in FIG. 2A-FIG. 2C and in FIG. 3A-3C share a common design, this is not necessary to the practice of the technique. There are a number of propulsion techniques known to the art for launching grenades, any of which that are suitable may be employed in alternative embodiments.

Turning now to FIG. 4, the grenade 400 is propelled from a launcher 403 when the launcher 403 is fired by the propulsion section 408 of the grenade 400 as described above. The grenade 400 is launched toward a spot 405 above a group 410 of people 415 (only one indicated). The launch typically generates only low levels of sound and generally occurs at some distance from the target. It is therefore difficult for the group 410 to hear and may easily be masked by environmental conditions such as wind and/or the activities of the group 410 or others in their vicinity.

The spot 405 is selected by the grenadier based on available fuse length, distance from the group 410, and environmental conditions such as wind and relative altitude. The grenade 400 (represented as the grenade 400' in flight) comprises only the dispersal apparatus 407 and the shell 406 in flight. Those in the art having the benefit of this disclosure will appreciate

that the spot **405** may vary from the spot actually chosen by the grenadier due to factors such as uneven fuse burn times, wind, or even miscalculation. Such variations are, however, immaterial to the present discussion.

The dispersal apparatus **407** then disperses the taggant **420** when set off by the fuse as described above. The pyrotechnic charge that overpressures the nose cone can be relatively small given its function. It therefore also generates relatively low levels of sound that will again be difficult for the group **410** to hear. The flash is similarly low, and largely contained by the dispersal apparatus **407**. The taggant **420** is, in this embodiment, the quantum dots previously mentioned. They are not, in themselves, visible under ambient lighting conditions. Thus, even if someone in the group **410** notices the dispersal it is unlikely they will appreciate what is happening.

The taggant **420** will then drift to the ground **425** as represented by the arrows **430** (only one indicated). The pattern of the dispersal, which greatly influences the pattern of the drift, is determined by nose cone design as discussed above. The fallen taggant **420** adheres as it contacts various surfaces, including the skin, hair, and clothing of the people **415**. This is the “tagging” of the people **415**, who in this embodiment are the target of the tagging effort. Note, however, that the tagging is not limited to the people **415**. This includes other things one might want to track such as vehicles. In some embodiments, the vehicles and other mobile or moveable object might be targets in addition to or in lieu of the people **415**. The tagging may also include things one might not wish to track, including buildings, other fixtures, and the ground itself. This is one reason that decay over time is desirable in some embodiments. Conversely, it may be undesirable in others if the decay finishes before one is through using the tag.

Because the taggant **420** is invisible under ambient lighting conditions, the tagged people **415** remain unaware of its presence. The taggant **420** will nevertheless fluoresce when exposed to light of the proper wavelength. The fluorescent frequencies of quantum dot taggants are known at the time of manufacture. Many quantum dot providers also offer detectors that will radiate light of the proper frequency to fluoresce the taggant. Tagged individuals and objects can then be readily identified.

While any fluorescent wavelength outside the visible spectrum for humans will perform satisfactorily, some promote the covert nature of this particular embodiment better than others. For example, infrared (“IR”) will perform adequately by IR detectors are pervasive and so inadvertent detection would be more likely. Near IR (“NIR”) technology is not so pervasive, but its radiation can be detected as heat energy by individuals on whom it is turned. Some embodiments may nevertheless employ such wavelengths. The illustrated embodiments use ultraviolet (“UV”) wavelengths.

The present invention in the illustrated embodiments therefore presents a rocket-propelled or otherwise launchable grenade configured to disperse a covert taggant material over one or more persons, so that the persons may be identified at a later time. In one embodiment, the covert taggant material is visible when illuminated by light exhibiting one or more wavelengths outside the human-visible spectrum. The covert taggant dispersing grenade comprises, in one embodiment, a shell in which the covert taggant is disposed, a dispersal apparatus operably associated with the covert taggant to disperse the covert taggant, and a propulsion section operably associated with the shell for propelling the shell through an atmosphere. The covert taggant, for example, may be in liquid or powder form. The shell defines one or more features that allow the taggant to be dispersed therefrom, such as openings, a scored burst pattern, or the like. In one embodiment, the

dispersal apparatus comprises an energetic charge and a dispersal piston operably associated with the covert taggant and the energetic charge. A fuse, such as a selectable fuse apparatus, may be operatively associated with the energetic charge. In one embodiment, the selectable fuse apparatus comprises a selector cam housing a plurality of fuses. The selector cam is positionable such that one of the plurality of fuses is operatively associated with the energetic charge.

This grenade with its dispersal system uses covert methods to tag and track individuals without alerting them. Individuals can be identified at a later time and place by use of a chemical tagging and detection system to assist the warfighter in finding and tracking insurgents after they have fled from an engagement. The combination of a distinctive pattern, reflective at the appropriate wavelength and photograph provide evidence of positive identification. A gas generator driving a piston creates the force necessary to drive the taggant from the grenade as it passes over the individuals being marked. By using a grenade filler taggant, a grenade can be constructed that provides a less-than-lethal method of marking the participants of a large group of individuals. A manually selectable switch will provide a short, medium, or long delay before discharge allowing the user to choose the range from himself that the taggant is dispersed.

This concludes the detailed description. The particular embodiments disclosed above are illustrative only, as the invention may be modified and practiced in different but equivalent manners apparent to those skilled in the art having the benefit of the teachings herein. Furthermore, no limitations are intended to the details of construction or design herein shown, other than as described in the claims below. It is therefore evident that the particular embodiments disclosed above may be altered or modified and all such variations are considered within the scope and spirit of the invention. Accordingly, the protection sought herein is as set forth in the claims below.

What is claimed:

1. A method for dispersing a taggant in an atmosphere prior to an impact, comprising:
 - launching, over a target, a grenade comprising:
 - a pyrotechnic charge; and
 - a bladder containing the taggant and disposed within a shell, the bladder separating the taggant from the pyrotechnic charge, the taggant being invisible to a human eye under ambient lighting conditions;
 - receiving, prior to launching the grenade over the target, a selection of a particular fuse of a plurality of fuses located within the grenade, each fuse of the plurality of fuses having a different burn time; and
 - activating the pyrotechnic charge to cause overpressure of the taggant to burst the bladder in the atmosphere over the target, thereby dispersing the taggant over the target.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the taggant fluoresces at ultraviolet wavelengths.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein a signature of the taggant decays over time.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein dispersing the taggant includes dispersing the taggant in a predetermined pattern.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein the shell further comprises:
 - a nose cone comprising a plurality of apertures, wherein the taggant is forced through the plurality of apertures when the bladder bursts.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein the bladder comprises an elastomeric material.

9

7. A method for dispersing in an atmosphere a taggant over a target, comprising:

receiving, prior to launching a dispersing grenade over the target, a selection of a particular fuse of a plurality of fuses located within the grenade, each fuse of the plu-

5 rality of fuses having a different burn time;
activating, by the particular fuse in the dispersing grenade launched into the atmosphere, a pyrotechnic charge;

forcing, by the activation of the pyrotechnic charge, a piston toward a bladder positioned within a shell and containing the taggant; and

10 over-pressuring, by the piston, the taggant to burst the bladder, thereby dispersing the taggant over the target.

8. The method of claim 7, further comprising forcing the taggant through a plurality of apertures in a nose cone of the dispersing grenade.

9. A method for dispersing in an atmosphere a taggant over a target, comprising:

10

activating, in a dispersing grenade launched into the atmosphere, a pyrotechnic charge while the dispersing grenade is in the atmosphere;

forcing, by the activation of the pyrotechnic charge, a piston toward a bladder positioned within a shell and containing the taggant; and

over-pressuring, by the piston, the taggant to burst the bladder, thereby dispersing the taggant over the target.

10. The method of claim 9, wherein the taggant comprises a liquid.

11. The method of claim 9, wherein the taggant is configured to mark an individual.

12. The method of claim 10, wherein the taggant is not visible to an unaided human eye in ambient lighting conditions, and is configured to fluoresce when exposed to radiation of a predetermined wavelength.

* * * * *